Preoperative Screening for Obstructive Sleep Apnea: Enhancing Perioperative Safety

Mary Frances Mullins, RN, CRNA, CPAN, CAPA, MSN, DNP

Sutter Memorial Medical Center, Modesto, California

Abstract

Nearly 25 million people in the United States suffer from obstructive sleep appear (OSA). This serious under-recognized, under-diagnosed medical dionetri is associated with significant comorbidities as well as increased perioperative risks. Therefore, properative scenaring for OSA using a validated OSA screening to Bus ah as the STOP Bang OSA screening to DSA submot observed for statistically significant differences in the proportion of postoperative hypoxemin between two sample groups. Group A (n=100) was comprised of abult (ages 18-75) general anesthesia elective sargery patients who were screened prooperatively for OSA on the STOP-Bang OSA screening instrument. Group B (n=100) was comprised of abult (ages 18-75) general anesthesis

instrument. Group B (n=100) was comprised of adult (ags: 18-75) general anesthesia lective surgery puttents who were not screnced propersparitively for GSA on the STOP-Bang OSA screening instrument. A Chi-square analysis was conducted comparing the proportion of positive postoperative by poweralis accuratives in the PSA AraBieski Car Unit (RACU). The proportion of patients who experienced hypoxemia in the PACU proting program, 22 (N, P=94) = 20.85, p=-149. This was statistically nonsignificant, but clinically relevant. Clinician awareness of the potential existence of OSA can guide the proportisi: Obstructive sleep apnea, STOP-Bang questionnaire, Obstructive sleep apnea, streering into a STOP and the special needs of surgical patients with OSA. Keywords: Obstructive sleep apnea, STOP-Bang questionnaire, Obstructive sleep apnea, STOP-

Materials and Methods

STOP-Bang Questionnaire

Yas 100	Successory? The sum traces Locally thread manages in he based through should down or your back- parties observe you for moving or night?
Yes 100	$T_{\rm Dead}^{\rm t}$. The set of the first Theorem , Fallquerd, we through sharing the descinant (such as fulling withing during $driving)^{\rm t}$
Yan 180	Observed? Has anyone Observed you trup Breathing or Choking/Gauping during your sloop?
¥44 104	Pressure? Do you have or not build treated for High Bland Pressure?
Yes 200	Body blass fades more than 35 kg/m ² 7
Yes No.	Age about these the years abot
Yes 100	Neck, dise kerge? (Mesonarol account Advance applic) For make, is your dust colling (7) underst@) on or inspec?" For Manuals, is your dust colling (7) underst@) one or inspec?"
Yes He	Grader - Malet
freeting	Criteria
	event permittations defects 'Van et al. permittane and total van et al. permittane and total van et al. permittane in Van et al. permittane in Van et al. permittane in Van et al. permittane in Van et al. permittane (1777) and name (1477) and
	Norm Chang F at al. Anasothermologie (2006, 108 813-21, Chang F et al. fit Linearph. (200-25, Chang F et al. 1226 Strephile lage 2014

Using a quantitative methodology with a comparative design, this author observed for statistically significant differences in the proportion of postoperative hypoxemic between two sample groups. Group A (n=100) was comprised of adult (ages 18-75) general anesthesia elective surgery patients who were screened prooperatively for OSA on the STOP-Bang OSA screening instrument. Group B (n=100) was comprised of adult (ages 18-75) general anesthesia elective surgery patients who were not screened prooperatively for OSA on the STOP-Bang OSA screening instrument.

Objectives

The purpose of this project was to introduce a preoperative OSA screening protocol to the community hospital where this author is employed. The program was initially excetted on a trial basis. It was the intention of this author to collect evidence to support permanent adoption of the OSA screening program to optimize the perioperative well-being of patients with occuld OSA. A findamental goal of this project was to amplify clinician cognizance of the impact of OSA in the perioperative well period with the intention of promoting patient safety.

Background

An estimated 25 million people in the U. S. suffer from OSA (American Academy of Dental Sleep Medicine (2016).

Approximately 80% of moderate and severe sleep apnea cases are undiagnosed (American Sleep Apnea Association (2015).

There is a higher incidence of OSA among the surgical population as compared to the general population (Chung, Yuan, & Chung, 2008).

OSA is considered to be a major risk factor for the development of serious perioperative adverse events (Jain & Dhand, 2004).

OSA Perioperative Implications:

Increased incidence of difficult mask ventilation (Memtsoudis, Besculides, and Mazumdar, 2013).

Difficult endotracheal intubation is 8-times more likely in patients with OSA (Siyam & Benhamou, 2002).

Increased risk for cardiac arrhythmias, myocardial infarction, & cardiopulmonary arrest (Kaw, Pasupuleti, Walker, Ramaswamy, and Foldvary-Schafer, N. 2012).

Clinician awareness of the perioperative patients' increased risk for OSA, based on the STOP-Bang score, allows for pertinent modifications to the perioperative care plan designed to enhance the safety of this vulnerable population. A Chi-square analysis using SPSS version 18 software was conducted comparing the proportion of positive postoperative hypoxemia accurrences in the PACU. In support of this investigator's theory, the proportion of patients who experienced hypoxemia in the PACU proportion of platients who experienced hypoxemia in the PACU proportion of platients who experienced hypoxemia in the PACU prost of platients who experienced hypoxemia in the PACU prost of platients who experienced hypoxemics in the PACU post, p = 149. There was an observed frequency of 40 occurrences of postoperative hypoxemia in the PACU in the post STOP-Bang implementation group, compared to 54 occurrences of postoperative hypoxemia in the PACU in the post STOP-Bang implementation of 45 TOP-Bang screening program, there was a statistically nonsignificant shift toward fever platients who experience dhypoxemia in the PACU post implementation of the STOP-Bang screening program, there was a statistically nonsignificant shift toward fever platients who experience dhypoxemia in the PACU post implementation of the STOP-Bang screening program, there was a statistically nonsignificant shift toward fever platients who experience dhypoxemia in the PACU post implementation of the STOP-Bang screening program.





Figure 1 shows STOP-Bang frequencies, percentages, and total score breakdown. This figure critics the STOP-Bang scores for the project population of the LOD patients who were screened preoperatively for OSA. It is striking that nearly one out of five adult (ages 18-75) general anesthesia elective surgery patients screened at high risk for OSA on the STOP-Bang questionnaire. These results underscore the importance of preoperative OSA screening.



Low risk = SBQ 0-2; Intermediate risk = SBQ 3-4; High risk = SBQ 5-8.

Figure 2 shows the percentages of level of OSA risk in pie chart format. The prevalence of low risk for OSA within the project's TOP-Bang screened adult (ages 18-75) general anesthesia elective surgery patient population was 39%. The prevalence of intermediate risk for OSA within this population was 42%. The prevalence of high risk for OSA within this population was 19%. The level of intermediate-high risk classification for this population was 19%. The figures highlight the significance of preporative OSA screening.



Figure 3 shows the incidence of postoperative hypoxemia in the PACU within the group who were screened properatively for SA on the STOP-Bang screening questionnaire, compared to the group who were not screened properatively for SA on the STOP-Bang screening questionnaire. There were S4/100 occurrences of hypoxemia in the group who were screened for GSA, compared to 40/100 occurrences of hypoxemia in the group who were screened for GSA. Although not statistically significant, this is clinically significant. These results underline the value of preoperative GSA screening.

Conclusions

It is well-accumented that the prevalence of OSA is greater in the surgical population than in general population (Mentsoudi et al., 2013). Distinuits with OSA are at greater risk for perioperative complications than patients who do not have OSA (Kaw et al., 2012). Consequently, the significance of prooperative OSA scening cannot be verstressed. Hypowenis is the most frequently encounteed perioperative complications in patients who have OSA (Pereina et al., 2013). Hypoxemia, as well as other perioperative complications may be mitigated, or eitorumented, when the perioperative complications aimed to meet the distinct needs of the patient with OSA. Preoperative screening for OSA by means of the STOP-Bang cuestionaire intendes to heightic clinician awarenes 89 of patients at high risk for OSA and allows for appropriate perioperative care plan indifications to promote patient starky among this at this population. Conducting this DPI project was worthwhile. The project heightened surgical services clinicians' and diministrators' recognition of the unique perioperative considerations that periot patients with known or suspected OSA. When patients screen positive for OSA on the recommendation of the Chief of Anethesia at the site facility, hospital administrators have unanimously decided to implement the OSA screening protocol introduced by this project on a permanent basis. That is a testimony to the success of this DPI project in leading to an important evidence-based clinical practice change designed by this project astery and whether the origon scale theory to the success of this DPI project in leading to an important being.

References

American Academy of Dental Sleep Medicine. (2016). Sleep apnea. Retrieved from http://www.aadsm.org/sleepapnea.aspx

American Academy of Sleep Medicine. *Obstructive sleep apnea*. Retrieved from (2016).

Chung, S., Yuan, H., and Chung, F. (2008). A systematic review of obstructive sleep apnea and its implicatons for anesthesiologists. Anesthesia and Analgesia, 107, 1543-1563.

Jain, S., and Dhand, R. (2004). Postoperative treatment of patients with obstructive sleep apnea. Current Opinion in Pulmonary Medicine, 10, 482-488.

Kaw, R., Pasupuleti, V., Walker, E., Ramaswamy, A., and Foldvary-Schafer, N. (2012). Postoperative complications in patients with obstructive sleep apnea. *CHEST*, 141, 436-441.

Memtsoudis, S. G., Besculides, M. C., and Mazumdar, M. (2013). A rude awakening-The perioperative sleep apnea epidemic. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 2352-2353.

Pereira, H., Xara, D., Mendonca, J., Santos, A., and Abelha, F. J. (2013). Patients with a high risk for obstructive sleep apnea syndrome: Postoperative respiratory complications. Elsevier, 19, 144-151.

Siyam, M. A., and Benhamou, D. (2002). Difficult endotracheal intubation in patients with sleep apnea syndrome. Anesthesia & Analgesia, 95(4). 1098-1102.

Contact Information:

Mary Frances Mullins mullinm@sutterhealth.org